

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/680,895		10/08/2003	Helen Zhu	P1052-LAM (RAO#1)	5978	
48008	7590	09/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VIRTUAL	LEGAL,	P.C.	NGUYEN, THANH T			
MICHAEL .	A. KERR					
3476 EXEC	UTIVE PO	DINTE WAY, UNIT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CARSON C		•	2813			

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N ~ ~
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Occurrence	10/680,895	ZHU ET AL.	< 1)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh T. Nguyen	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ISS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a libility within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this common c	iunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 s	lune 2005.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	its have been received. Its have been received in Apprix documents have been	application No	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	·	•

Art Unit: 2813

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (U.S. Patent No. 5,970,376) in view of Pangrle et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,566,283) and Wu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,720,256) and Hsue et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,696,222).

Referring to figures 8-11, Chen teaches a method of forming a damascene structure comprising:

forming an silsesquioxane layer (66, called OSG, see figure 8, col. 17-33),

forming a cap layer or hardmask (68) on the OSG layer,

forming a photoresist layer (70, see col. 14, lines 46-55), etching the cap layer and the OSG layer to form a via (68a, see figure 9).

Removing the photoresist layer by using nitrous oxide (see figures 6-7, 10-11, col. 10, lines 51-67, col. 11, lines 1-13, col. 15-16, lines 56+), wherein the oxygen containing gas consisting of nitrous oxide (see col. 11, lines 1-3). Therefore, when removing the photoresist layer (70 in figure 10) using the oxygen containing gas, the nitrous oxide can be used for the removal. Noted that substitution of one known equivalent technique for another may be obvious even if the prior art does not expressly suggest the substitution. Ex parte Novak 16 USPQ 2d 2041 (BPAI 1989); In re Mostovych 144 USPQ 38 (CCPA 1964); In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960); Graver Tank & Manufacturing Co. V. Linde Air Products Co. 85 USPQ 328 (USSC 1950).

Generating a high selectively between the photoresist and the OSG. It is inherent that since removing the photoresist layer without removing the organic material (OSG) it would generating the high selectivity.

Regarding to claim 2, 7, 18, the photoresist is an organic photoresist. Noted that it is known in the art that photoresist is made of organic material.

Regarding to claims 4, 8, the stripping of the photoresist is performed in the same reactor used for etching the OSG material (see col. 17, lines 3-9).

Regarding to claim 6, since remove the photoresist without remove the hardmask and the OSG film, therefore it would generating a high selectivity.

Regarding to claim 10, the intermediate layer is a cap layer (68, see figure 8).

Regarding to claim 11, cap layer is selected from a group consisting of silicon dioxide (see col. 14, lines 10-15, 37-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/680,895

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding to claim 12, the second intermediate layer is a hard mask layer (called capping layer, 68, col. 14, lines 36-45).

However, the reference does not teach silsesquioxane-SOG is an OSG layer, forming a dual damascene, etching the trench into the second hardmask layer and apply another the photoresist layer to form a via etch by etching into the OSG layer, providing a via etched into the IC structure, generating an organic plug that occupies the via; and stripping organic plug, the hardmask layer selected from the group consisting of silicon nitride.

Pangrle et al. teaches a low k dielectric layer (12) silsesquioxane SOG such as OSG film (see col. 5, lines 15-26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made to form a low k dielectric layer such as OSG film in process of Chen as taught by Pangrle et al. because silsesquioxane SOG is known in the art to include the OSG film with a low dielectric constant.

Wu et al. teaches forming a dual damascene (see figure 6d), forming the hardmask layer selected from the group consisting of silicon nitride (see col. 9, lines 62-67), providing a via etched into the IC structure (see figure 6a), Generating an organic plug (80, called resin) that occupies the via; and Stripping organic plug (see figure 6d-6e).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would form a dual damascene, providing a via etched into the IC structure, generating an organic plug that occupies the via, and stripping organic plug, the hardmask layer selected from the group consisting of silicon nitride in process of Chen as

taught by Wu et al. because the process would provide a wider process latitude and higher yield during the formation of dual damascene structures are provided. The method is resistant to poisoning from adjacent layers and does not form scum or residue in via holes during

trench patterning, and is compatible with high throughput, low cost manufacturing process.

Hsue et al. teaches a method of forming a dual damascene process using metal hardmask layer comprising the step of: etching the trench into the second hardmask layer (see figure 2b-2c) and apply another the photoresist layer (44) to form a via etch (45) by etching into the OSG layer (36, see figure 2d-2f).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would etching the trench into the second hardmask layer and apply another the photoresist layer to form a via etch by etching into the OSG layer in process of Chen as taught by Hsue et al. because the process would reduce RC delay and cross talk, lower production cost and simplify the dual damascene process

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Chen does not teach using the nitrous oxide (N2O) for stripping of the photoresist from the IC structure and an OSG. In response to applicant that Chen clearly teaches using the nitrous oxide (N2O) for stripping of the photoresist from the IC structure and

Page 6

Art Unit: 2813

an OSG (see figures 6-7, 10-11, col. 10, lines 51-67, col. 11, lines 1-13, col. 15-16, lines 56+), wherein the oxygen containing gas consisting of nitrous oxide (see col. 11, lines 1-3). Therefore, when removing the photoresist layer (70 in figure 10) using the oxygen containing gas, the nitrous oxide can be used for the removal.

Applicant contends that Pangrle low dielectric has never developed successfully within a process of Chen. In response to applicant that examiner only relies on Pangrle to show a low k dielectric layer silsesquioxane SOG is also known as OSG film. The term is known in the art.

Applicant contends that Hsue teaches a method of forming a dual damascene process wherein the claim invention is not directed to a dual damascene process. In response to applicant that the process of the instant invention does not exclude the method of forming a dual damascene process. Therefore, one of ordinary skill can used any known process to meet the claimed invention.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/680,895 Page 7

Art Unit: 2813

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1695, or by Email via address Thanh.Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See MPEP 203.08).

Thanh Nguyen
Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN